

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

*		•		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/806,795	04/04/2001	Staffan Folestad	1103326-0660	6487
7470 75	90 04/10/2002			
WHITE & CA	SE LLP	,	EXAMINER	
PATENT DEPARTMENT 1155 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 10036		. · ·	BLANTON, REBECCA A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1762	3
*			DATE MAILED: 04/10/2002	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
gr ⁴	09/806,795	FOLESTAD ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
يشته للبي	Rebecca A. Blanton	1762				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 L	December 2001					
	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		rosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims		Y)				
4) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-41</u> is/are pending in the application		•				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,22 and 24-27</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>4-21,23 and 28-41</u> is/are objected to.	. *					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	• E	the Evenines				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 April 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
		oved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	·	•				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	anniei.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	*					
1. Certified copies of the priority document						
2. Certified copies of the priority document						
3. ⊠ Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	-11	Part of Paper No. 3				

Application/Control Number: 09/806,795

Art Unit: 1762

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 4-21, and 23 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from another multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 4-21, and 23 have not been further treated on the merits.

Claims 28-41 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from another multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 28-41 not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 22 provides for the use of a method for coating a substrate particle, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claims 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under

Application/Control Number: 09/806,795

Art Units 1762

35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-3 and 24-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Claims 1 and 24 recite the limitation of performing a spectrometric measurement on a coating during the step of forming the coating to obtain a measurement value of at least on principal parameter related to the coating. The specification states on page 8 paragraph 3 that the spectrometric measurement unit is arranged at the periphery of the coating chamber where it performs a spectrometric measurement on the coating during the coating process, followed by representing the measurement data in a sample vector. Neither the specification nor the claims explain how to make the spectrometric measurement and use the measurement data to derive a measurement value related to the coating.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

U.S. 5,518,759 to Sevillano et al.: Sevillano et al. describe a process for coating a substrate with diamond where a spectrometer is used to measure the plasma coating composition.

Application/Control Number: 09/806,795

Art Unit: 1762

Page 4

U.S. 5,871,805 to Lemelson: Lemelson discloses a process for computerized control of a vapor deposition process where the coating thickness is measured and the data is sent to a computer controller.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rebecca A. Blanton whose telephone number is 703-605-4295. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F (7:30am - 3:30pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shrive P. Beck can be reached on 703-308-2333. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

rab **P48** April 8, 2002 MICHAEL BARR PRIMARY EXAMINER